Opportunities for NAMs in an EU regulatory context

Carl Westmoreland

21st June 2022



Unilever's products must be safe for the people who use and make them and for the planet



We say use science. Not animals. **Alternatives to** animal testing **Our approach** Peta We use a wide range of non-animal approaches to assess the safety of our products. Since the 1980s, our scientists have been developing and using alternatives to animal tests, e.g. computer modelling and cell APPROVED culture-based experiments. We regularly present and publish our work, and continually collaborate with others to share our knowledge and **Global Animal Test Policy** apply exciting new science to assure product safety.



Unilever.com

The history of bans on animal testing for cosmetic products and ingredients in the EU

EU Cosmetics Product Regulation: (EC) No 1223/2009

CONNECTING THE DOTS FOR ANIMALS: HISTORY OF THE EU BAN ON ANIMAL TESTING FOR COSMETICS





Source: https://ec.europa.eu/growth/sectors/cosmetics/ban-animal-testing_en

Assessing the consumer safety of cosmetic ingredients for the **Cosmetic Product Regulation is exposure-led**



Skin Penetration



Caffeine in Body Lotion - Permeation through Human Split-thickness Skin Into Receptor Pluid 30 20 Erre (h)

Inhalation

Exposure Modelling Near-field

For-field Spray directed of



Products sprayed directly at the body

Steiling et al (2014) Toxicology Letters, 227, 41-49

Unilever



* Generally, depends on delivery system rather than product type.



Assessing the consumer safety of cosmetic ingredients for the Cosmetic Product Regulation without new animal testing

Is the predicted consumer exposure safe? A tiered approach is routine

- Use all available safety data on the ingredient
 - Clinical, epidemiological, animal (if dates permit), in vitro etc
- Exposure-based waiving approaches (e.g. TTC, DST, Inhalation TTC)
- in silico predictions
- History of safe use
- Read across
- Use of existing OECD in vitro approaches
- Next Generation Risk Assessment (NGRA)





Next Generation Risk Assessment (NGRA)

NGRA is defined as an exposure-led, hypothesis-driven risk assessment approach that integrates New Approach Methodologies (NAMs) to assure safety without the use of animal testing





NGRA: Protection not prediction



The hypothesis underpinning this NGRA is that if no bioactivity is observed at consumerrelevant concentrations, there can be no adverse health effects.

At no point does NGRA attempt to predict the results of high dose toxicology studies in animals

NGRA uses new exposure science and understanding of human biology



Graph from Rusty Thomas EPA, with thanks. Rotroff et al (2010) Toxicological Sciences , **117**, 348-358

Principles of Next Generation Risk Assessment from ICCR Non-animal approaches in Cosmetic Risk Assessment



Main overriding principles:

- » The overall goal is a human safety risk assessment
- » The assessment is exposure led
- » The assessment is hypothesis driven
- » The assessment is designed to prevent harm

Solution Principles describe how a NGRA should be conducted:

- » Following an appropriate appraisal of existing information
- » Using a tiered and iterative approach
- » Using robust and relevant methods and strategies

Principles for documenting NGRA:

- » Sources of uncertainty should be characterized and documented
- » The logic of the approach should be transparently and documented



Dent *et al* (2018), Computational Toxicology, **7**, 20-26: <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.comtox.2018.06.001</u>

Use of non-animal approaches for cosmetic safety

Computational Traiscology 7 (2018) 20-26 Contents lists available at ScienceDirect Computational Toxicology ELSEVIER journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/comtox	SCCS/1628 Scientific Committee on Consumer Safety SCCS	21	ENVERTMENT DIRECTORATE CONSIST OF ECONOMIC COMMITTEE
of cosmetic ingredients Matthew Dent ^{a,*} , Renata Teixeira Amaral ^b , Pedro Amores Da Silva ^b , Jay Ansell ^e , Fanny Boisleve ⁴ , Masato Hatao ⁵ , Akhiko Hirose ⁶ , Yutaka Kasai ⁸ , Petra Kern ⁵ , Reinhard Krelling, Stanley Milstein ⁴ , Beta Montemayo ⁶ , Julcemara Oliveira ¹ , Andrea Richarz ⁸ , Neb Taalman ² , Pict Vaillancourt ⁹ ,	EVALUATION 11 TH REVISION 3-4 REI COSMET	EVANT TOXICOLOGICAL TOOLS FOR THE SAFETY EVALUATION OF CINGREDIENTS	Case Study on use of an Integrated Approach for Testing and Assessment (LATA) for Systemic Toxicity of Phemoxyethanol when included at 1% in a body
Rajeshwar Verma ¹ , Nashira Vieira O'Reilly Cabral Possada ¹ , Craig Weiss ² , Hajime Kojima ¹ ² Unders defend al Internance Cane, Coherci Since Tel, Bandrock, Alighadar BKH 102, DI ⁴ ⁴ Charles of the Coher Care Coher Coh	Scientific Committees sciences increment lafter increment lafte	has been closely following the progress made with regard to the development and of alternative methods and updated its hold on a regular basis taking progress into for. distentiation of the second secon	outon Series on Testing and Assessment, No. 349
Pendi 29% 2020 yere, VA hily Pendi 29% 2020 yere, VA hily *** Independence Cannotic Merchange (Cannotic), Habity Florements and Commerc Soley Danch, 200 Latrice Are. W., Otawa, ON RLA GOS, Canada *** Independence Cannotic Mendylexaring and Exerbasers (CDMAD), 217925 Field Parkway, Saitz 2015, Dare Park, E. 40010, IZ54 A R T I C L E I N F O A B S T R A C T Regression Consumer safety is a percenpainte for any connectic product. Worldwide, there is an ever-increasing desire to bring and protection to the anisot, the formation and in streas approach, networks and and and the streament approach to construct and a streament approach to construct and a streament approach to the time transmitter approach, the international Comparison, the construction durates the approach and construction and the construct is and there are approached, international Comparison, the protection to the available data, and using nobest and relevant methods and streament approach, and and streament approach, and construction of the available data, and using nobest and transmitter approach, and streament approach and streament approach in the order of the approach and streament approach in the order of the approach and streament approach and streament approach in the order of the available data, and using nobest and relevance to the logic of the approach and streament approach. The formation the available data streament approach, formation the available data, and us	3-4.1 The SOCS adopted this guidance document at its plenary meeting on 30-31 March 2021 Whereas tools e.g. assessm that been with registration carried o carried o carried o carried o carried o correst o as N. Many eff aminut aminut correst o as the second o as the secon	Nex Arepació: Networkou or (NAN) and Next-Generation Risk Assessment (NGRA) herminology of Alternative Test Methods (ATMs) ² does not cover all available in silico methodology, the more general term, New Approach Methodology (NAM) introduced. As for cometics and their ingredients, testing and marketing bases approach is, the need for validated non-similar alternative methods for chemical hazard is much more important in futurge for compliance with the Cometics Regulation ther regulatory frameworks. MAM may include in vite, ex vive, in chemica and n is the such more important in futurge for the substance using cometical hazard of from different available means. A set of criteriu, universal across initiatives, to ball fit-for-purpose was developed by a null-stateholder crosse areas instatives, port matteries y across different instatives (Parini et al., 2020). Its are congoin to modernise toxicological addry evaluation and to look for non- thodology that can be used for the risk assessment of compounds that after long- size could be at the origin of systemic toxicy. Non of these approaches is referred A (USEPA, 2014). The principles underprinning the application of an MGNA to polarize the substance using the using a company company. Canada	JT83483983
International Cooperation on	e and eval de close de close d	(Dent et al., 2018). NGRA is a human-relevant, exposuri-ted, hypothesis-driven smart designed to provent harm. It integrates several MAK to deliver altery elevants to human health without the use of experimental animals, in MGRA should study the several delivant of the several delivant of the several delivant study of the available delivant and relevant methods and strategies, novely of NGRA and the current lack of regulatory guidance on the use of a variety of the available delivant delivant and relevant methods and strategies. A general NGRA workflow is described in Figure 5 (Bergorne et al., 2017). The den additional of coarnetic ingredents, which coald also be used in case which of of available of coarnetic ingredents, which coald also be used as a resk it tools are described in 3-5.2:	The decements, as well as any data and map included hereis, are velocate projective to the states of or surverlaping over any torritory, it detects the debattation of interventional dynamics and beautative and a the states of any portholy, it or area.
International Cooperation on Cosmetics Regulation (2018)	Europoon Commissi	on: Sciontific	OECD (2021)

European Commission: Scientific Committee on Consumer Safety (2021)



SEURAT-1 NGRA Framework







Berggren et al (2017) Computational Toxicology 4, 31-44

NGRA: case study workflow for systemic effects





Physiologically-based Kinetic (PBK) Modelling



- Physiological parameters (e.g. body weight, blood flow rates, tissue volume)
- Physico-chemical parameters (e.g. LogP, Fup, tissue/plasma partition coefficients)
- Kinetic parameters (e.g. dermal absorption, hepatic metabolism, renal excretion)
- Product use information (e.g. dose, frequency, site area, formulation)





Li et al (2022) Toxicology and Applied Pharmacology, **442**, 115992

Unilever

Key tools in our NGRA approach for systemic effects



Unilever

Exposure and PoD are plotted and used to derive a Bioactivity-Exposure Ratio (MoE/BER)





APCRA approach to evaluate the integration of exposure and bioactivity



Utility of In Vitro Bioactivity as a Lower Bound Estimate of In Vivo Adverse Effect Levels and in Risk-Based Prioritization

Katie Paul Friedman (), *¹ Matthew Gagne,[†] Lit-Hsin Loo,[‡] Panagiotis Karamertzanis,[§] Tatiana Netzeva,[§] Tomasz Sobanski,[§] Jill A. Franzosa,[¶] Ann M. Richard,* Ryan R. Lougee,^{*,||} Andrea Gissi,[§] Jia-Ying Joey Lee,[‡] Michelle Angrish,^{|||} Jean Lou Dorne,^{||||} Stiven Foster,[#] Kathleen Raffaele,[#] Tina Bahadori,^{||} Maureen R. Gwinn,* Jason Lambert,* Maurice Whelan,** Mike Rasenberg,[§] Tara Barton-Maclaren,[†] and Russell S. Thomas ()*



- Evaluation of in vitro NAMs, exposure modelling and dose-response models.
- For 89% of the chemicals NAM PoD was more conservative than the traditional POD.
- Bioactivity:exposure ratios (BERs) approach useful for accelerate screening and assessment using NAMs for hazard and exposure.



Clourer Contraction of the second se

ExpCast • POD-NAM • max AED • POD-traditional

Next Generation Risk Assessment (NGRA) Framework for Skin Allergy



- NGRA framework for skin allergy based upon the ICCR principles and SEURAT-1 frameworks for systemic tox
- WoE based upon all available information, accommodate range of consumer product exposure scenarios and provide a quantitative point of departure and risk metric → Skin Allergy Risk Assessment (SARA) Model.



SARA Defined Approach

- The point of departure (PoD) metric is a dose with a 1% chance of human skin sensitisation (termed ED₀₁).
- The SARA dataset contains 81 chemicals.
- The model accounts for variability in the DPRA, KeratinoSens™, h-CLAT and U-Sens
- The model has been expanded to incorporate benchmark exposure information.





Expansion of SARA model to use benchmark exposure information

- Model expanded to incorporate benchmark exposure information as an additional input alongside historic *in vivo* and NAM data.
- After fitting the model, and given some exposure scenario of interest, the model can calculate the SARA risk metric, defined as the probability that the exposure is low risk for human skin sensitisation induction.





Frameworks for using NAMs to make safety decisions

Developmental & Reproductive



Inhalation



Rajagopal et al (2022) Frontiers in Toxicology, doi: 10.3389/ftox.2022.838466

Skin Sensitisation



Reynolds et al (2021) Reg Tox Pharmacol, **127**, 105075

Systemic



Baltazar et al (2020) *Toxicol Sci*, 176, 236-252

Ongoing Evaluations

Working with regulators/ government agencies



NICEATING senteeven to an agreement with consumer produce company contever to collaboratively test and further develop their Skin Allergy Risk Assessment (SARA) predictive model. SARA is a computational model that uses a variety of input data to estimate a probability that a chemical will cause an allergic skin reaction in humans. NICEATIW will test the SARA model using a variety of chemical data sets, including chemicals of interest to U.S. and international regulatory agencizes. NICEATM and Unliever will also work together to expand the SARA model to include data generated by NICEATM. The intent is to make the SARA model openly available for public use along with other NICEATM predictive models. Availability of the SARA model will help further reduce anima use for the endpoint of skin sensitization, and will improve upon existing efforts by providing points of departure for quantitative human risk assessment.

Information about other NICEATM projects to evaluate alternatives to animal use for skin sensitization is available at https://ntp.niehs.nih.gov/go/ACDtest.

Reference: <u>Reynolds et al.</u> Probabilistic prediction of human skin sensitizer potency for use in next generation risk assessment. Comput Toxiol 9:36-49. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/i.comtox.2018.10.004</u>



Animal Testing and EU Registration, Evaluation, Authorisation and Restriction of Chemicals (REACH)

Regulation (EC) No 1907/2006

- These same types of toxicity are also relevant to EU REACH registrations, where animal testing must only be undertaken as 'a last resort'
 - Article 25: 'In order to avoid animal testing, testing on vertebrate animals for the purposes of this regulation shall be undertaken only as a last resort'
- Annex XI of UK REACH lists 'adaptations' to waive animal testing (including use of QSAR, *in vitro* methods, weight-of-evidence approaches etc.)
 - More opportunities for use of NAMs?
 - Need for Flexibility and good scientific dialogue
 - Need to develop criteria for acceptance of NAMs in EU Chemicals legislation
- Longer-term evolution of EU REACH. Ongoing public consultation around the revision of EU REACH



Environment

Home > News > Chemicals: Commission seeks views on revision of REACH, the EU's chemicals legislation

NEWS ARTICLE | 20 January 2022 | Directorate-General for Environment

Chemicals: Commission seeks views on revision of REACH, the EU's chemicals legislation



Recognition of NGRA in cosmetic safety assessment...



Unileves

... Using similar approaches for chemical registration?

Archives of Toxicology (2022) 96:743–766 https://doi.org/10.1007/s00204-021-03215-9

REGULATORY TOXICOLOGY

A framework for chemical safety assessment incorporating new approach methodologies within REACH

Nicholas Ball¹ · Remi Bars² · Philip A. Botham³ · Andreea Cuciureanu⁴ · Mark T. D. Cronin⁵ · John E. Doe⁵ · Tatsiana Dudzina⁶ · Timothy W. Gant⁷ · Marcel Leist⁸ · Bennard van Ravenzwaay⁹



NGRA and Worker Safety

- Understanding worker exposure
 - Routes
 - Levels of exposure
 - PPE*, engineering controls, ventilation etc.
 - PBK for worker exposure
- NGRA

Unilever

BER approach for worker exposure





0.3

-R-(10)



Acknowledgements

Nora Aptula Maja Aleksic Maria Baltazar Trina Barritt Danilo Basili Sophie Cable Paul Carmichael Tom Cull Matt Dent Ellen Edwards Julia Fentem Nicky Gilmour Steve Gutsell Sarah Hatherell Jade Houghton Lucy Ingram Predrag Kukic Hequn Li Mark Liddell Keeley Mahwing

Sophie Malcomber **Deborah Martin** Gavin Maxwell Alistair Middleton Iris Muller Beate Nicol **Claire Peart Ruth Pendlington** Ramya Rajagopal Georgia Reynolds Joe Reynolds Annabel Rigarlsford Gordon Riley Paul Russell Andy Scott Sharon Scott Nikol Simecek Wendy Simpson **Chris Sparham** Sandrine Spriggs

Charlotte Thorpe Erica Vit Andy White Sam Windebank

