Enabling Safe and Sustainable Innovation using NAMs: Biosurfactant case study

Dr Maria Baltazar

Safety Science Capability Lead - Safety, Environmental & Regulatory Sciences (SERS), Unilever

SOT 2025, Orlando, Florida





Conflict of Interest Statement

• Dr Maria Baltazar is an employee of Unilever (<u>www.unilever.com</u>)



Presentation Outline

- 1.Unilever's approach to safe and sustainable by design (SSbD) without animal testing
- 2.Consumer Goods Product Innovation case study: use of a novel biosurfactant in a hand dish wash product
- 3.Our evaluation of the utility of published SSbD frameworks for consumer goods product innovation













Safe and Sustainable by Design (SSbD): building safety & sustainability into product innovation

- We ensure that our products are safe for consumers and workers and help minimise their environmental impacts
- Unilever Safety, Environmental & Regulatory Science (SERS) experts provide input at every stage of a product's life:
 - New discover and design new concepts
 - New technologies in product innovations
 - Anticipate product use & disposal scenarios
- By being involved throughout the innovation process, SERS experts help design safety and sustainability into our products













Safe & Sustainable Products without Animal Testing

What we believe

- Every Unilever product must be safe for people and our environment
- Animal testing is not needed to assess ingredient & product safety

 wide range of non-animal approaches available
- We work to accelerate the global adoption of animal-free cosmetic safety assessment approaches











How we do it



40+ years of developing non-animal safety science



90+ collaborations



600+ publications

Safety without animal testing - Next Generation Risk Assessment (NGRA)

NGRA is defined as an exposure-led, hypothesisdriven risk assessment approach that integrates New Approach Methodologies (NAMs) to assure safety without the use of animal testing





The hypothesis underpinning this type of NGRA is that **if there is no bioactivity observed at consumerrelevant concentrations, there can be no adverse health effects.**



Our tiered, exposure-driven approach to next generation risk assessment example: Human Health Systemic Safety NAM toolbox & workflow





Blue shaded region BER> 11

exposure scenario

Presentation Outline

- 1.Unilever's approach to safe and sustainable by design (SSbD) without animal testing
- 2.Consumer Goods Product Innovation case study: use of a novel biosurfactant in a hand dish wash product
- 3.Our evaluation of the utility of published SSbD frameworks for consumer goods product innovation













Novel Biosurfactant - case study

- Real consumer product innovation example using SSbD
 principles
- Renewable, biodegradable biosurfactant for inclusion in a hand dishwash product
- Novel, non-animal Next Generation Risk Assessment (NGRA) approach used to assess safety across consumer, occupational, environmental and sustainability
- Environmental impact of novel biosurfactant assessed against existing hand dishwash surfactant ingredients.





(10)

Novel Biosurfactant / Hand Dishwash case study: our SSbD framework



Ingredient Discovery	Ingredient Evaluation	Development & Testing	Production & Launch
Several ingredient options - early-stage supplier information	One or few ingredient options - quantitative material and process data (ingredient pilot plant)	Commercial specification of ingredient & product formulation (product pilot plant)	Full-scale production of final formulation with markets & volume targets
 Evaluation of ingredient options Preliminary prognosis & screening assessment Limited safety & sustainability data 	 Evaluation of lead ingredient option Identification of significant risks and impacts Data generation on ingredient performance, safety & sustainability 	 Refined evaluation of lead ingredient option in formulation Implementation of safety strategy Data gap filling 	 Final evaluation of lead ingredient in formulation Safety & sustainability assessments support market launch Integration of info & insights from all stages



(11)

Novel Biosurfactant / Hand Dishwash case study: consumer safety

	Ingredient	Ingredient	Development	Production
	Discovery	Evaluation	& Testing	& Launch
Consumer Safety Assessment	 <u>Data</u> Surfactant class read-across Literature: potential immune effects Production strain information <u>Approach</u> In silico predictions of potential health effects based on expected consumer exposure Identification of potential contaminants of concern 	 <u>Data</u> Literature: read across data chemical characterisation In vitro data for genotox. & skin sens. In silico metabolite predictions <u>Approach</u> Higher-tier in silico predictions based on refined consumer exposure Targeted in vitro testing Risk Assess expected contaminants of concern 	<u>Data</u> - In vitro data for genotox.,skin sens, skin absorption & immune effects - Critical micelle concentration for biosurfactant <u>Approach</u> - Exposure-led, hypothesis-driven safety strategy for local & systemic toxicity - Characterisation of systemic exposure & health effects - Safety hypothesis testing to establish Bioactivity-Exposure ratio (BER)	<u>Data</u> - Commercial scale composition and consumer exposure - Full in vitro data package, including re-testing of commercial spec. <u>Approach</u> - Exposure-led hypothesis-driven safety strategy for commercial specification

Novel Biosurfactant / Hand Dishwash case study: occupational safety

	Ingredient	Ingredient	Development	Production
	Discovery	Evaluation	& Testing	& Launch
Occupational Safety Assessment	<u>Data</u> - Form & concentration - Surfactant class – read-across - Production process - Literature: physical properties (e.g. flammability) <u>Approach</u> - Assess for highly hazardous properties - In silico predictions of potential health effects based on expected worker exposure - Potential contaminants of concern	 <u>Data</u> Early stage process design Initial tox. info. from consumer safety assessment Chemical characterisation Preliminary supplier safety data sheets/info <u>Approach</u> Assessment to support process development and design requirements Potential contaminants / residues of concern 	 <u>Data</u> Specific form/conc. of ingredient Chem. / biological characterisation data Existing product manufacturing facility & processes data Residual hazard info Complete supplier safety data sheets <u>Approach</u> Application of inherent safety approaches Risk assessment for handling ingredient & formulated product Basis of safety to manage residual risks throughout 	 <u>Data</u> All hazardous properties defined Consumer safety data Handling processes methods Inherent safety principles & control measures <u>Approach</u> Detailed review of the entire process, potential exposures & controls Consider manufacturing steps other workplace activities



Novel Biosurfactant / Hand Dishwash case study: environmental safety

	Ingredient	Ingredient	Development	Production
	Discovery	Evaluation	& Testing	& Launch
Environmental Safety Assessment	Data - Surfactant class – read across - Biodegradability data from supplier <u>Approach</u> - Environmental fate & effects - Estimates of environmental exposure for markets & volumes	Data - Expected market volumes - Fate & effects data <u>Approach</u> - Daphnia & algal acute studies - In silico predictions for fish toxicity (e.g. read-across, weight of evidence approaches based on MoA) - LogK _{OW} and LogK _{IAM} approaches to estimate bioaccumulation	Data - Incremental volume adjustments for ingredients in the product that are already used within Unilever's portfolio and have been demonstrated to be safe <u>Approach</u> - Data generation and prospective safety assessments for all ingredients in the product taking a 'Total Tonnage' approach	Data - Changes in market volumes (prospective / retrospective) <u>Approach</u> - Annual post-launch monitoring of safety of all ingredients – total tonnage approach - Refinements to the conservative assessments where safety margins are narrowing



Novel Biosurfactant / Hand Dishwash case study: env. sustainability

	Ingredient	Ingredient	Development	Production
	Discovery	Evaluation	& Testing	& Launch
Environmental Sustainability Assessment	Data - Life cycle stages / production process for material - Feedstock options - Supplier environmental performance claims <u>Approach</u> - Construct process flow - 'Rule of thumb' principles / heuristics for qualitative hotspot identification - impacts & life cycle stages - Identify potential risks / benefits and improvement potential	Data - Pilot plant production data for ingredient – energy & material use - Life cycle inventories / assessments for comparative surfactants <u>Approach</u> - Quantitative screening LCA assessment of ingredient – limited impact categories - Identify key drivers of impacts, possible environmental trade- offs and improvement potential	Data - Formulation data - Value chain process flow information - Life cycle inventories for product ingredients & processes - Spatial land cover data - Production scale-up scenarios <u>Approach</u> - Comparative Life Cycle Analysis (LCA) - Land Use Change Improved (LUCI) LCA - GHGs, soil erosion, biodiversity loss, nutrient pollution	Data - Data refinements for markets of interest <u>Approach</u> - Full LCA for claims substantiation & ongoing maintenance of claims – tailored to specific markets Note: Environmental benefits were not communicated to consumer in this instance, so these steps were not completed

Presentation Outline

- 1.Unilever's approach to safe and sustainable by design (SSbD) without animal testing
- 2.Consumer Goods Product Innovation case study: use of a novel biosurfactant in a hand dish wash product
- 3.Our evaluation of the utility of published SSbD frameworks for consumer goods product innovation













Insights from evaluation of available SSbD frameworks for consumer goods

DINT MEETING OF THE CHEMICALS COMMITTEE AND THE WORKIN DEMICALS, PESTICIPES AND BIOTECHNOLOGY

ng Towards a Safe(r) Innovation Approach (SIA) for More Su

ument, as well as any data and map included herein, are without prejudice to the st

terials and Nano-enabled Products

ENV/IM/MONO/2

- Our aim was to evaluate published SSbD frameworks & concepts to understand their utility for consumer goods product innovation
- For today, I'll focus on the two most mature approaches:
 - 1. European Commission DG JRC SSbD framework & guidance
 - 2. OECD Safe(r) and Sustainable Innovation Approach (SSiA)

, h (SS	SiA)	
020356/REV1 h-Or, Taglish Docador 2020 FARTY ON	Exercise Exercise Anterior Exercise Exercise Exercise Exercise Exercise Exercise Exercise Exercise Exercise Exercise Exercise Exercise Exercise	
tainable	Sustainability and Safe and Sustainable by Design: Working Descriptions for the Safer invovation Approach. The Baser of Manufactured Nanomaterials The Safe of Saf	
	JT03364088	
ysty over any territory,	This document, as well as any data and may included hereix, as a white of projection to the ratios of or accountinging over any tectiony, in the administration of budget and the set of bundlerins and in the same of any tectings, thy or area.	





Safe and Sustainable by Design chemicals and materials - Methodological Guidance







Comparison of JRC SSbD & OECD SSiA framework key characteristics

	European Commission Safe & Sustainable by Design (SSbD)	OECD Safe(r) and Sustainable Innovation Approach (SSiA)	
Scope / Regulatory context	European Green Deal & Chemical Strategy for Sustainability	Nanomaterials, Nano-enabled Products (NEPs) and advanced materials	
Absolute safety	Forms the conceptual basis	Not limited by absolute safety	
Hazard-based cut-offs	Yes	No	
Risk-based considerations	Secondary to hazard-based cut-offs	Risk is a key element	
Absolute sustainability	Ultimate goal	Move towards safe operating space	
Enable use of latest science	None or limited to early stages of innovation	None or limited to early stages of innovation	
Data requirements	Extensive data requirements throughout the innovation process		
Consideration of trade-offs	No provision Provision exists with little guide		

Recommendations to evolve SSbD frameworks to better support safe & sustainable consumer product innovation

- 1. Enable risk-based approaches & exposure assessment
- 2. Support use of latest non-animal safety & sustainability science
- 3. Explicitly address & manage trade-offs





Recommendations to accelerate adoption of SSbD frameworks

- 1. Build global data ecosystem & digital infrastructure
- 2. Develop more sector- and technology-specific case studies
- 3. Create additional guidance & training for SSbD assessment





Acknowledgements

- Florence Bohnes
- Bruno Campos
- Claire Davies
- Julia Fentem
- Predrag Kukic
- Ian Malcomber
- David Mason
- Gavin Maxwell
- Ramya Rajagopal
- Giles Rigarlsford
- Gordon Riley
- Clare Rodseth
- Sarah Sim
- Evita Vandenbossche-Goddard

SERS Partners



sers.unilever.com

Thank You for your attention!

sers.unilever.com

