Assuring consumer and worker safety without animal testing: Developmental and reproductive effects

Carl Westmoreland 19<sup>th</sup> July 2022









#### Unilever – Safety & Environmental Assurance Centre (SEAC) Ensuring Unilever's Innovations & Products are Safe & Sustainable by Design

#### Safety and Environmental Science

We want consumers to be confident that our products are safe for them and their families, and better for the environment. The scientists at Unilever's Safety and Environmental Assurance Centre (SEAC) play a key role in ensuring that our products are safe and environmentally sustainable.





Leading safety and environmental sustainability sciences The scientists behind our safe and sustainable products



Safe and sustainable by design How we build safety and sustainability into every product innovation.



Keeping people and the environment safe The science-based approaches we use to keep our consumers, workers and the environment safe.



Reducing our environmental impact

How we harness the latest science to minimise our environmental footprint.

#### Unilever Product / Ingredient Safety Governance

 Provide scientific evidence to manage safety risks & environmental impacts



#### Unilever's products must be safe for the people who use and make them and for the planet

Office for Product afety & Standards

lanuary 20:

Regulation 2009/1223 and the Cosmetic

Products Enforcement Regulations 2013 sply to cosmetic products being supplied in or in







74 Cosmetic products are not permitted on the GB market if the product's ingredients, combination of ingredients or final formulation have been the subject of animal testing used to prove their safety for the purposes of this Regulation. However, historic animal testing data from animal testing that took place before such testing was banned at EU level may still be used in order to meet the requirements of the Regulation

STATUTORY INSTRUMENTS STATUTORY INSTRUMENTS 2021 No. 904 CONSUMER PROTECTION ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION HEALTH AND SAFETY The REACH etc. (Amendment) Regulations 202 If reguments unified 28th Ady 2021 Ind the Produce 27th 28th Equations 202 If reguments unified 28th Ady 2021 Ind the Produce 27th 28th Equations 202 If Reach etc. (Amendment) Regulations 202 If Reach etc. (Amendment) 202 If Reach etc. (Amendmen	Bastry Disciple original service	in i no mprob mais Ter
STATUTORY INSTRUMENTS 2021 No. 904 CONSUMER PROTECTION ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION INFORMENTAL PROTECTION INFORMATION SAFETY The REACH etc. (Amendment) Regulations 202 If reparaments unified 286 Ado 287 Indi de normany 286 Ado 28	and (Appliance is carried) and	ouldte is in organitioner
2021 No. 904 CONSUMER PROTECTION ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION HEALTH AND SAFETY The REACH etc. (Amendment) Regulations 202 Mini the Annual State State Ministry State State Ministry State Min	STATUTORY INS	STRUMENTS
CONSUMER PROTECTION ENUROYMENTAL, PROTECTION HEALTH AND SAFETY The REACH etc. (Amendmenn) Regulations 202 Mark - Amendmenn) Regulations 202 India (Amendmenn) Regulations 202 Camagian January - Markanov 2027 THE REACH ETC. (AMENDMENT) REGULATIONS 2 DREFT	2021 N	o. 904
ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION IEALTH AND SAFETY The REACH etc. (Amendment) Regulations 202 Bill dig and the second seco	CONSUMER P	ROTECTION
HEALTH AND SAFETY The REACH etc. (Amendment) Regulations 202  fig regulations inspire above relations above re	ENVIRONMENTA	L PROTECTION
The REACH etc: (Amendment) Regulations 202 507 representations unified 204.0-0, 507 504 doi: 10.000 and 500 504 doi: 10.000 and 500 Comp uniform 204 higheritor 207 THE REACH ETC. (AMENDMENT) REGULATIONS 2 PART 1 PART 1	HEALTH AN	D SAFETY
Mit reportement simplified     2016 Adv 2021     Mater     Mater     Adv 2021     Cantering and physical     Contemponent 2014     Mit Supervider 2027     THER REACH ETC. (AMENDAMIN'N) REGULTATIONS 2     PART     Therm	The REACH etc. (Amend	ment) Regulations 202
Mode	Sill requirements satisfied	20th July 2021
Laid higher Parlaneer 20th Ady 2027 Coming into force John Sequender 2027 THE REACH ETC. (AMENDMENT) REGULATIONS 2 PART 1 Interfacement	Made	26th July 2021
Coming sons force 10th September 2027 THE REACH ETC. (AMENDMENT) REGULATIONS 2 PARE 1 PARE 1 PARE 1	Laid hefore Parliament	27th July 2921
THE REACH ETC. (AMENDMENT) REGULATIONS 2 PART 1 PART 1	Coming into force	30th September 2021
PART I	THE REACH ETC. (AMENDM	IENT) REGULATIONS 2
hand one	PAR	1
and detailed by A	Introduc	any
	PART	2
PART 2	Amendment of Regulation	n (EC) No 1907/2006
PART 2 Amendment of Regulation (EC) No 1907/2006		

Article 25

Objectives and general rules

for the purposes of this Regulation shall be undertaken only as a last resort.

#### We say use science. Not animals.

#### **Alternatives to** animal testing

#### **Our approach**



We use a wide range of non-animal approaches to assess the safety of our products. Since the 1980s, our scientists have been developing and using alternatives to animal tests, e.g. computer modelling and cell culture-based experiments. We regularly present and publish our work, and continually collaborate with others to share our knowledge and apply exciting new science to assure product safety.

Unilever.com





**Global Animal Test Policy** 

#### **Next Generation Risk Assessment (NGRA)**

NGRA is defined as an exposure-led, hypothesis-driven risk assessment approach that integrates New Approach Methodologies (NAMs) to assure safety without the use of animal testing





#### Use of NGRA for safety assessment – Regulatory uptake

Computational Toxicology 7 (2016) 20-26 Contents lass available at ScienceDirect Computational Toxicology ELSEVIER journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/comtox	SCC5/162	21	ENVERSION OF LOOMAGE AND BOOTECHNOLOGY COMMITTEE
Principles underpinning the use of new methodologies in the risk assessment	COSMETIC INGREDIENTS AND THEIR SAFETY		
of cosmetic ingredients	EVALUATION		
Matthew Dent <sup>8,*</sup> , Renata Teixeira Amaral <sup>5</sup> , Pedro Amores Da Silva <sup>1</sup> , Jay Ansell <sup>7</sup> , Fanny Boisleve <sup>d</sup> , Masato Hatao <sup>6</sup> , Akihiko Hirose <sup>6</sup> , Yutaka Kasat <sup>8</sup> , Petra Kern <sup>3</sup> , Reinhard Kreiling <sup>1</sup> , Stanley Milstein <sup>1</sup> , Beta Montemayor <sup>8</sup> , Julcemara Oliveira <sup>1</sup> , Andrea Richarz <sup>m</sup> , Rob Taalman <sup>2</sup> , Eric Vaillancourt <sup>6</sup> , Raischwar Verma <sup>1</sup> . Mashira Visira O'Reilly Cabral Possda <sup>1</sup> . Craie Weiss <sup>2</sup> , Haiime Koiima <sup>4</sup>	11 <sup>™</sup> REVISION 3-4 R COSME	EVANT TOXICOLOGICAL TOOLS FOR THE SAFETY EVALUATION OF IC INGREDIENTS	Case Study on use of an Integrated Approach for Testing and Assessment (IATA) for Systemic Toxicity of Phenoxyethanol when included at 1% in a body lotion
<ul> <li>Totaleur Jeferg auf Januares (Januel Caster, Caster Jeff, Shankovak, Shanko</li></ul>	Scientific Committees Scientific Committees I of case I of I of I I of I of I of I I of I of I of I I of I of I of I of I I of I of	I has been closely following the progress made with regard to the development and or abminition methods and updated in MicG on a regular basis taking progress into too. I additional development of the development and the development and too in the development and too in the development of the development o	Series on Testing and Assessment, No. 349
Ferm 299, 2002 hprc, VA, July "Cumeric Linger, America Biernean Johnnus 40, 1166 Aulerghem, Julgiam "Irelah Ganda (JGC, Genumer Hendel Softy Directione, Endly Directorentes and Concurre Softy Direck, 269 Laurier Ave, W., Ottawa, ON KIA 6059, Canada "Irelah Ganda (JGC, Genumer Hendel Softy) Directione, Fahl Varleup, Salar 2015, Our Farl, R. 60010, USA	3-4.1 The SCCS adopted this guidance document	NEW APPROACH METHODOLOGY (NAM) AND NEXT-GENERATION RISK ASSESSMENT (NGRA)	
ARTICLENTNO ABSTRACT  ABSTRACT  ADDATE  ADDATE A	at its plenary meeting on 30-31 March 2021 Whereas at its plenary meeting on 30-31 March 2021 Unless and the set of the s	the terminology of "Attemative Test Methods (ATMs)" does not cover all available time terminology of "Attemative Test Methods (Test)" does not cover all available explore the observation of the test of the test of the test of the test of the test explores the test of the test of the method test of test is a much more important in Europe for compliance with the Connetics Regulation of the much more important in Europe for compliance with the Connetics Regulation the small more important in Europe for compliance with the Connetics Regulation the small more important in Europe for compliance with the Connetics Regulation to for address well as comhinations thered. Therefore, before any testing is the smaller means, all is dominations thered. Therefore, before any testing is the smaller means. Test of criteria, universal across inflatives, to onsidency across different instatives (Parish et al., 2020). This are ongoing to modernise toxicological asfety vealuation and to look for non- saure could be at the origin of systemic toxicity. One of these approaches is referred platform of regulators and consentics in consentics Regulation platform of regulators and consentics in consentics Regulation platform of regulators and consentics in consentics Regulation platform of regulators and consentics in consentics. Regulation	JT03483903
	decision and even and even of MAM document Microsoft Suppose Suppose Suppose	relevant to human health without the use of experimental animats. An INCAS should take using a terrar del freezive approach. (following an approaches Biersture search ation of the evaluable data, and using robust and relevant methods and stretegies. In decision-making, it is important that the assessment should be transparently ted and explicit about the logic of the approach and sources of uncertainty (Dent et a decision-making, and a decision of the approach and sources of uncertainty (Dent et a decision-making, and the size of the approach and sources of uncertainty (Dent et a decision-making, and the size of the approach and sources of uncertainty (Dent et a decision basis). The approach and sources of uncertainty (Dent et all de taken as a possible workflow in the future, are described in chapters 3-4.2 to etable of "sources] and concern (TFC) and internal TFC (TTC) approaches as a risk nt tools are described in 3-5.2.	This document, as well as any data and may builded herein, are solvhard projetile to the sature of as sourchighty over any turbiney, is the ideinated of international function and buandaries and to the same of any turbiney, slip or area.
International Cooperati	On on	42	(COD) OECD
International Cooperation on Cosmetics Regulation (2	2018) Europoon Commiss	on: Sciontific	OECD (2021)

European Commission: Scientific Committee on Consumer Safety (2021)



#### **NGRA: Protection not prediction**



The hypothesis underpinning this NGRA is that if no bioactivity is observed at consumerrelevant concentrations, there can be no adverse health effects.

At no point does NGRA attempt to predict the results of high dose toxicology studies in animals

NGRA uses new exposure science and understanding of human biology



Graph from Rusty Thomas US EPA, with thanks. Rotroff et al (2010) Toxicological Sciences, 117, 348-358

## Key tools in our NGRA approach for systemic effects



Unilever

#### Baltazar et al., (2020) Toxicol Sci 176, 236–252

#### **Developmental and Reproductive Toxicology (DART)**



Frontiers in Toxicology | www.frontiersin.org

March 2022 | Volume 4 | Article 838466



FIGURE 2 | NAMs within the Developmental and Reproductive Safety Framework evaluated for being protective of DART effects spanning the key stages in reproduction and development.



#### **NGRA Framework for DART endpoints**



FIGURE 3 An NGRA framework outlining the consideration of any existing information with exposure estimation including maternal and foetal ADME parameters with *in vitro* biological activity characterisation including additional NAMs relevant for DART endpoints to determine the bioactivity exposure ratio and further refinements to arrive at a risk assessment conclusion.



Rajagopal et al., Front. Toxicol., 07 March 2022 https://doi.org/10.3389/ftox.2022.838466

# Induced pluripotent stem cells (iPSCs) to detect developmental toxicity



modified from Shahbazi, (2020) Development Jul 17;147(14):dev190629

Assays have been developed to either use iPSCs directly (devTox quickPredict platform; Stemina) or the differentiation into heart, liver and neuronal cells (ReproTracker; Toxys) as New Approach Methodologies (NAMs) for developmental toxicity

dev

**auick** predict





#### **US EPA and NGRA**





#### Figure 2.

Tiered testing framework for hazard characterization. Tier 1 uses both chemical structure and broad coverage, high content assays across multiple cell types for comprehensively evaluating the potential effects of chemicals and grouping them based on similarity in potential hazards. For chemicals from Tier 1 without a defined biological target/pathway, a quantitative point-of-departure for hazard is estimated based on the absence of biological pathway or cellular phenotype perturbation. Chemicals from Tier 1 with a predicted biological target or pathway are evaluated Tier 2 using targeted follow-up assays. In Tier 3, the likely tissue, organ, or organism-level effects are considered based on either existing adverse outcome pathways (AOP) or more complex culture systems. Quantitative points-ofdeparture for hazard are estimated based on the AOP or responses in the complex culture system. The next generation blueprint of computational toxicology at the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

Thomas R *et al*, (2019). Toxicol Sci. **169**, 317–332. doi:10.1093/toxsci/kfz058

#### Organotypic Assays and Microphysiological Systems



## **Principles of Next Generation Risk Assessment from ICCR**



## Main overriding principles:

- » The overall goal is a human safety risk assessment
- » The assessment is exposure led
- » The assessment is hypothesis driven
- » The assessment is designed to prevent harm

#### Principles describe how a NGRA should be conducted:

- » Following an appropriate appraisal of existing information
- » Using a tiered and iterative approach
- » Using robust and relevant methods and strategies

## Principles for documenting NGRA:

- » Sources of uncertainty should be characterized and documented
- » The logic of the approach should be transparently and documented



Dent *et al* (2018), Computational Toxicology, **7**, 20-26: <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.comtox.2018.06.001</u>

## A role for reproductive organoids in NGRA?

- Organotypic assays and microphysiological systems have a key role in higher tier human-based NGRA
- NGRA needs multidisciplinary teams (Risk assessment, PBK modelling, early tier bioactivity assays, mathematical modelling, informatics etc).
- Could there be bespoke, investigative higher tier roles for reproductive organoids? Higher tiers will always need expertise in areas identified in earlier tiers
- Bringing complex *in vitro* biology and detailed mechanistic understanding together with regulatory requirements for safety Robust, reproducible models Transferability, reproducibility Good laboratory practice?





#### **Acknowledgements**

Nora Aptula Maja Aleksic Maria Baltazar Trina Barritt Danilo Basili Sophie Cable Paul Carmichael Tom Cull Matt Dent Ellen Edwards Julia Fentem Nicky Gilmour **Steve Gutsell** Sarah Hatherell Jade Houghton Lucy Ingram Predrag Kukic Hequn Li Mark Liddell **Keeley Mahwing** 

Sophie Malcomber **Deborah Martin** Gavin Maxwell Alistair Middleton Iris Muller **Beate Nicol Claire Peart Ruth Pendlington** Ramya Rajagopal Georgia Reynolds Joe Reynolds Annabel Rigarlsford **Gordon Riley** Paul Russell Andy Scott Sharon Scott Nikol Simecek Wendy Simpson Chris Sparham Sandrine Spriggs

Charlotte Thorpe Erica Vit Andy White Sam Windebank

