

Revolutionizing Chemical Testing



Majority of Substances May **Not** Require Assessing Effects in Fish

Authors: Richa Malik^{1, 2}, Bruno Campos², Claudia Rivetti², Luigi Margiotta-Casaluci¹, Christer Hogstrand¹

¹King's College London, Institute of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Franklin–Wilkins Building, 150 Stamford Street, London SE1 9NN, UK ² Unilever, Safety, Environmental and Regulatory Sciences (SERS), Colworth Science Park, MK44 1LQ Sharnbrook, Bedfordshire, UK Challenges of traditional environmental safety testing of chemicals







AF-Assessment factors, data availability dependent



Environmental Risk Assessment





Images from Biorender

Analysis Pipeline

ECOTOX Data Retrieval Downloaded:19/03/24, Last Updated:14/03/24 Filter I Aquatic species **Species** Species scientific names corrected Type: active ingredient(A), formulation(F), total(T) Results Endpoint: LC50, NOEC, NOEL Habitat : water, non-soil Sub habitat: estuarine, riverine, lacustrine, palustrine Tests Location: Lab Media Characteristics Terrestrial data removed









$$\frac{median\ NOEC_{Group1}}{median\ NOEC_{Group2}} = \begin{cases} < 0.1 : Group2\ has\ lower\ sensitivity(compared\ to\ Group1)\\ 0.1 - 10 : Comparable\ Sensitivity\\ > 10 : Group2\ has\ higher\ sensitivity\ (compared\ to\ Group1) \end{cases}$$

Lethal Concentration 50 (LC50) comparison (lethality)



LONDON



Results: Webapp

Page1 Page2

Compare ECOTOX endpoints across aquatic taxonomic groups



KING'S College LONDON



NOEC distribution : Chlorpyrifos

NOEC distribution (mg/L) Chlorpyrifos



Median values across groups Fish: 0.00356 Invertebrate: 0.00035

Algae: 1

Amphibian median: 0.1000

<u>Fish vs Invertebrate:</u> median ratio is 0.098 <u>Fish vs Algae:</u> median ratio is 280

MAC EQS: 0.0001







Conclusion

In more than 80% cases data from lower taxonomic groups might be sufficient to determine safe concentration without the need of vertebrate data. Where fish is the most sensitive, available *in silico* and *in vitro* tools should be able to support such safety assessment.

Only in 10% and 17% chemicals, is Vertebrates median LC50 and NOEC, respectively, ten-fold more sensitive than algae and invertebrates.

Biological pathways conservation / specificity across the groups resulting in the trend observed.

1. T. J. Norberg-King, M. R. Embry, S. E. Belanger, T. Braunbeck, J. D. Butler, P. B. Dorn, B. Farr, P. D. Guiney, S. A. Hughes, M. Jeffries, R. Journel, M. Lèonard, M. McMaster, J. T. Oris, K. Ryder, H. Segner, T. Senac, G. Van Der Kraak, G. Whale, P. Wilson, An International Perspective on the Tools and Concepts for Effluent Toxicity Assessments in the Context of Animal Alternatives: Reduction in Vertebrate Use. *Environ. Toxicol. Chem.* **37**, 2745–2757 (2018)





1. T. J. Norberg-King, M. R. Embry, S. E. Belanger, T. Braunbeck, J. D. Butler, P. B. Dorn, B. Farr, P. D. Guiney, S. A. Hughes, M. Jeffries, R. Journel, M. Lèonard, M. McMaster, J. T. Oris, K. Ryder, H. Segner, T. Senac, G. Van Der Kraak, G. Whale, P. Wilson, An International Perspective on the Tools and Concepts for Effluent Toxicity Assessments in the Context of Animal Alternatives: Reduction in Vertebrate Use. *Environ. Toxicol. Chem.* **37**, 2745–2757 (2018)

Conclusion

Mechanistic understanding of the underlying biological pathway of the chemical resulting in toxicity would allow the development of reliable computational predictive models.

Worldwide more than 5 million fish are used for toxicity testing per year^{1,} potentially without relevant benefits in safety assessments robustness.

We can have relevant, robust and transparent safety assessments, without vertebrate in vivo data, using in silico and in vitro tools in an integrated way, anchored on best available scientific knowledge.





Acknowledgements



Supervisory Team

> Prof Christer Hogstrand

Dr Luigi Margiotta-Casaluci



Dr Bruno Campos Dr Claudia Rivetti



 弦

Biotechnology and Biological Sciences Research Council







Prof Peter Kille





Prof Charles Tyler

Office and Lab mates



NOEC comparisons Drugs



NOEC comparisons Personal care

Images from Biorender



KINGS College LONDON

Unilever

NOEC comparisons Pesticides







Images from Biorender